

Flexible Work Schedules and Productivity: Insights from Lagos State Civil Service

Ayanda Abraham

Abstract

This study examines the relationship between flexible work schedules and employee productivity, focusing on the Lagos State civil service. Motivated by the removal of fuel subsidies and rising commuting costs, the Lagos State government introduced a flexible work schedule to enhance efficiency and mitigate economic challenges. Using a qualitative research design, the study synthesizes secondary data from academic literature, policy documents, and reports to explore the policy's design, implementation, and impact. Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory provides the theoretical framework, emphasizing how motivators such as autonomy and reduced stress enhance productivity, while addressing hygiene factors like commuting costs to prevent dissatisfaction. The findings reveal that the flexible work schedule has contributed to improved employee morale, reduced commuting stress, and enhanced productivity, particularly for roles amenable to hybrid or telecommuting arrangements. However, challenges such as coordination difficulties and infrastructural gaps were identified, indicating areas for policy refinement. This research addresses a significant gap in understanding flexible work policies in developing contexts and offers practical recommendations for optimizing public service delivery in Lagos State.

Introduction

The Lagos State civil service, as the administrative backbone of the state, plays a pivotal role in delivering public services and ensuring the effective implementation of government policies. Over the years, the productivity of civil servants has been a recurring subject of concern, particularly in the face of evolving economic, social, and infrastructural challenges. To address these issues, the Lagos State government recently introduced a flexible work schedule as part of its strategy to mitigate economic hardships and enhance productivity within the civil service. This initiative represents a significant policy shift, aimed at alleviating the effects of the removal of fuel subsidies, rising commuting costs, and increasing demands for efficient public service delivery.

The introduction of flexible work schedules aligns with global trends emphasizing employee-centered policies as a means of improving morale, reducing stress, and enhancing

Ayanda Abraham, PhD Babcock University, Ilishan-Remo, Ogun State. Department of Political Science and Public Administration ayandabababafemi@gmail.com +2348145125320

performance. However, the effectiveness of such policies is contingent on various factors, including organizational culture, job roles, and the adaptability of employees and managers to new workflows. While existing studies acknowledge the potential benefits of flexible work schedules, their impact on productivity in a developing context, particularly within Nigeria's public sector, remains underexplored. Additionally, there is limited empirical analysis of how such policies address the unique challenges faced by civil servants, including traffic congestion, resource constraints, and the broader socioeconomic realities of urban governance in Lagos State.

This study adopts a qualitative research design to explore the relationship between work schedules and productivity, focusing specifically on the new flexible work schedule implemented for Lagos State civil servants. The research relies on secondary data, synthesizing information from academic literature, policy documents, and reports relevant to workplace flexibility, employee productivity, and public sector management. Data was drawn from scholarly articles, government publications, and media reports. Peer-reviewed journal articles and books accessed through databases such as JSTOR, Google Scholar, and ResearchGate provided theoretical and conceptual insights into work schedules and productivity, with sources selected from 2015 onwards to ensure relevance. Lagos State government reports, including memos, press releases, and strategy papers, were analyzed to understand the policy's design, implementation, and intended outcomes. Additionally, comparative case studies and global best practices were incorporated to contextualize Lagos State's approach within broader trends.

The analysis employed a thematic approach, identifying recurring patterns and insights within the collected data. Themes such as work-life balance, employee morale, and operational efficiency were central to the analysis, guided by objectives to explore the conceptual underpinnings of flexible work schedules, evaluate the features and implementation strategies of Lagos State's policy, and identify its challenges and limitations. Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory provided a theoretical lens to assess how flexible schedules serve as motivators for public servants in Lagos State. Despite the richness of secondary data, this approach is not without limitations. The reliance on publicly available documents and literature introduces potential biases, and the lack of primary data from Lagos State civil servants leaves gaps in understanding the lived experiences of those affected by the policy. Addressing these limitations in future studies through surveys, interviews, or focus groups could provide a more comprehensive perspective on the policy's impact and implementation dynamics.

This study seeks to examine the nexus between work schedules and productivity, with a specific focus on the new flexible work schedule implemented for Lagos State civil servants. By analyzing key productivity indicators such as attendance, work output, and service delivery, this paper aims to evaluate the effectiveness of the policy and its sustainability. The study contributes to existing literature by addressing a significant gap in understanding how flexible work arrangements can be tailored to optimize public service delivery in a developing economy. This research provides practical recommendations for policymakers and public administrators seeking to navigate the complexities of modern governance while prioritizing employee welfare and organizational efficiency.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research design to explore the relationship between work schedules and productivity, focusing specifically on the new flexible work schedule implemented for Lagos State civil servants. The research relies on secondary data, synthesizing information from academic literature, policy documents, and reports relevant to workplace flexibility, employee productivity, and public sector management. Data was drawn from scholarly articles, government publications, and media reports. Peer-reviewed journal articles and books accessed through databases such as JSTOR, Google Scholar, and ResearchGate provided theoretical and conceptual insights into work schedules and productivity, with sources selected from 2015 onwards to ensure relevance. Lagos State government reports, including memos, press releases, and strategy papers, were analyzed to understand the policy's design, implementation, and intended outcomes. Additionally, comparative case studies and global best practices were incorporated to contextualize Lagos State's approach within broader trends.

The analysis employed a thematic approach, identifying recurring patterns and insights within the collected data. Themes such as work-life balance, employee morale, and operational efficiency were central to the analysis, guided by objectives to explore the conceptual underpinnings of flexible work schedules, evaluate the features and implementation strategies of Lagos State's policy, and identify its challenges and limitations. Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory provided a theoretical lens to assess how flexible schedules serve as motivators for public servants in Lagos State. Despite the richness of secondary data, this approach is not without limitations. The reliance on publicly available documents and literature introduces potential biases, and the lack of primary data from Lagos State civil servants leaves gaps in understanding the lived experiences of those affected by the policy. Addressing these limitations in future studies through surveys, interviews, or focus groups could provide a more comprehensive perspective on the policy's impact and implementation dynamics.

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework for this study is anchored on Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory, which posits that workplace motivation is influenced by two categories of factors: motivators and hygiene factors. Motivators, such as recognition, autonomy, and opportunities for personal growth, drive job satisfaction and enhance productivity. Hygiene factors, including working conditions, salary, and job security, prevent dissatisfaction but do not necessarily motivate employees. This framework is particularly relevant in analyzing how flexible work schedules address motivators by offering autonomy and reducing workplace stress, thereby fostering a more engaged and productive workforce. Hygiene factors such as reduced commuting costs also play a significant role in alleviating dissatisfaction, particularly in the context of Lagos State, where urban challenges like traffic congestion and high commuting expenses directly impact civil servants' experiences.

By applying Herzberg's theory, this study establishes a clear link between flexible work policies and employee productivity within the Lagos State civil service. Flexible schedules directly align with motivators by empowering employees with autonomy and reducing stress, creating an environment conducive to higher job satisfaction and enhanced performance. Additionally, the theory provides a lens to understand how alleviating negative externalities—such as long commuting hours through telecommuting or hybrid models—can address hygiene factors, ensuring that employees remain engaged and productive. This

theoretical grounding allows the study to critically evaluate the effectiveness of Lagos State's flexible work policy while situating its findings within broader organizational behavior literature.

Conceptual Review

The concept of work schedules has undergone significant transformation in recent decades, shaped by evolving organizational needs and the demands of a diverse workforce. Work schedules refer to the allocation of time within which employees are required to perform their assigned duties (Mas & Pallais, 2017). Consequently, Choudhary (2016) defines work schedules as structured frameworks that determine how, where, and when employees engage in their responsibilities. Nwekpa et al. (2020) similarly describe them as mechanisms designed to balance organizational goals with employee preferences, often encompassing traditional, flexible, and remote working arrangements. In line with this, Kelliher (2024) emphasizes flexibility as a critical aspect, noting that work schedules increasingly adapt to technological advancements and societal changes. Additionally, Ciarniene et al. (2018) highlight the significance of work schedules in mitigating workplace stress, stating that well-structured arrangements promote job satisfaction and reduce burnout. These definitions collectively underscore the dynamic nature of work schedules and their importance in fostering employee well-being and organizational efficiency.

Productivity, often regarded as the measure of efficiency in converting inputs into outputs (Sickles & Zelenyuk, 2019), is another central concept in this discourse. Accordingly, Drucker (2018) asserts that productivity transcends mere time spent at work, emphasizing quality and focused effort as critical determinants. Consequently, Saidin et al. (2022) describe productivity as the extent to which an individual achieves performance standards within a given role, underscoring its multi-dimensional nature. More importantly, from the purview of the public sector, Holzer (2018) defines productivity as the effective delivery of services that meet societal expectations while optimizing resources. Moreover, Gunter and Gopp (2022) identify productivity as a combination of output quality, timeliness, and innovation, particularly relevant in knowledge and resource-driven environments. Finally, De Loecker and Syverson (2021) expand the concept by linking it to organizational and economic performance, emphasizing the systemic interplay of individual efforts within broader institutional frameworks. These definitions as posited by Gidwani and Dangayach (2017) highlight the complexity of productivity and its dependence on both individual capabilities and structural support systems.

Having defined both concepts of work schedule and productivity, it is important to mention that the relationship between both concepts has been explored in various studies, demonstrating the significant impact of scheduling arrangements on employee output. For instance, Berkery et al. (2017) found that flexible work schedules enhanced employee engagement and reduced absenteeism in large European organizations. In a study on the Nigerian public sector, Austin et al. (2020) revealed that civil servants with adaptable schedules reported higher job satisfaction and performance compared to those on rigid schedules. Similarly, a meta-analysis by Kuppachi (2023) highlighted the benefits of remote and hybrid work models in improving focus and reducing workplace distractions. These findings provide compelling evidence that new work schedules, when thoughtfully implemented, can drive productivity gains, particularly in urban settings like Lagos State. The unique challenges faced by Lagos State civil servants—ranging from traffic congestion

to limited work-life balance—underscore the need for innovative scheduling solutions. Flexible work arrangements, such as staggered shifts or remote work, have shown promise in addressing these issues. For instance, studies by Choudhary (2016) and Omondi (2016) demonstrated that adaptive schedules not only alleviated stress but also improved public service delivery. However, the rigidity of traditional schedules often impedes such progress, as noted by Varotsis (2022), who observed that fixed working hours frequently contribute to employee fatigue and reduced morale.

Essentially, this conceptual framework highlights the intricate interplay between work schedules and productivity, emphasizing the potential of new scheduling models to enhance employee performance and organizational outcomes. By incorporating multiple perspectives, it becomes clear that adaptive work schedules are vital for addressing the unique challenges of productivity. This discussion sets the foundation for further investigation into how innovative scheduling practices can optimize public service delivery in one of Nigeria's most dynamic urban environments.

Types of Work Schedules

Work schedules are a critical component of organizational structure, shaping not only the work environment but also employee performance, satisfaction, and overall productivity. Thus, as businesses and institutions continue to evolve, so too have the types of work schedules available to employees (Hashmi et al., 2023). These schedules can be broadly categorized into three main types: traditional work schedules, flexible work schedules, and hybrid work schedules. Each type offers distinct advantages and challenges, and understanding their nuances is essential for determining which model best suits the needs of both employers and employees in a given context (Govender et al., 2018).

Traditional work schedules are characterized by fixed working hours and locations, with employees adhering to a predetermined routine, often from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Kelliher et al., 2019). This model, which has been the norm in many organizations for decades, provides a sense of stability and predictability. It facilitates easier supervision and coordination, as employees are expected to be physically present during set hours (Khateeb, 2021). Accordingly, Messenger (2018) posits that this structure is advantageous for roles that require constant interaction or collaboration. However, it often lacks the flexibility needed to accommodate personal circumstances or rapidly changing work environments (Schneider & Harknett, 2019). Hence, in urban settings like Lagos State, where traffic congestion and long commuting hours are common, the traditional schedule can lead to employee fatigue, absenteeism, and decreased overall morale, thereby reducing productivity (Austin et al., 2020).

Flexible work schedules, on the other hand, offer employees greater autonomy over their working hours (Timms et al., 2015). This category encompasses a variety of arrangements, such as compressed workweeks, flextime, and telecommuting. In compressed workweeks, employees work longer hours over fewer days, while flextime allows for varied start and end times within a defined range of hours. Telecommuting, increasingly popular in the post-pandemic era, enables employees to work remotely, often from the comfort of their homes (Orishede & Ndudi, 2020). Sequentially, Omondi (2016) highlights that such flexible schedules have been shown to improve work-life balance, reduce commuting stress, and enhance job satisfaction, which in turn, boost productivity. However, flexible schedules present challenges as well. The lack of physical presence in a central office can make

coordination more difficult, and some employees may struggle with accountability, as there is less direct supervision (Spreitzer et al., 2017). As Weideman and Hofmeyr (2020) mentioned that without proper structure and communication, flexible arrangements may lead to feelings of isolation or disengagement.

Hybrid work schedules combine elements of both traditional and flexible schedules, allowing employees to alternate between fixed hours at a physical location and flexible arrangements, such as remote work (Best, 2021). This model as described by Wheatley et al, (2024) aims to strike a balance between the predictability of traditional work schedules and the flexibility of more modern approaches. Accordingly Haque (2023) posit that hybrid work schedules have proven effective in fostering productivity by accommodating individual preferences while maintaining organizational control. This model can be especially beneficial for knowledge-driven sectors where collaboration is essential but employees also benefit from the autonomy of flexible schedules. However, the challenge of implementing hybrid models lies in ensuring consistency across teams, managing communication effectively, and maintaining a cohesive organizational culture (Williams & Shaw, 2024).

Overall, the various types of work schedules each offer distinct advantages and present unique challenges. Traditional schedules provide stability but lack flexibility, while flexible schedules enhance work-life balance but may lead to coordination issues (Kossek et al., 2015). Hybrid work schedules offer a compromise, combining the best elements of both traditional and flexible models, though they require careful management to ensure consistency (Sampat et al., 2022). Inherently, for organizations like those in Lagos State, where urban challenges such as traffic congestion and long commutes can impact employee well-being, exploring these different work schedule models can help optimize productivity, improve job satisfaction, and ultimately enhance public service delivery.

The Nexus between Work Schedule and Productivity

The relationship between work schedules and productivity is influenced by a variety of factors, such as organizational culture, the nature of job roles, employee motivation, and the broader work environment (Davidescu et al., 2020). Hence, in recent years, there has been growing recognition of the significant impact that work schedule arrangements can have on employee output (Cvetkoska & Dimovska, 2021). Traditional work schedules, while still widely used, are increasingly being challenged by more flexible models that cater to the diverse needs of employees (Messenger, 2018). Flexible work schedules, including options like flextime, compressed workweeks, and telecommuting, have been linked to improved productivity (Kossek et al., 2015). One reason for this is as opined by Weideman and Hofmeyr (2020) is that flexible schedules reduce stress by allowing employees to manage their work-life balance better, which, in turn, enhances job satisfaction and overall performance.

Based on the foregoing, Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory offers a useful framework for understanding how flexible work schedules can boost productivity. According to Herzberg (1959) cited in Filtvedt (2016), motivators such as autonomy, recognition, and opportunities for growth are key drivers of job satisfaction and performance. Flexible work schedules, which grant employees greater control over when and where they work, align with Herzberg's motivators (Ogachi, 2024), thereby establishing a nexus, as employees who feel trusted to manage their time are more likely to be engaged and committed to their tasks

(Spreitzer et al., 2017). Thus studies like Kelliher and Anderson (2018) and Omondi (2016) demonstrate that organizations offering flexible schedules report higher employee retention and productivity, particularly when these schedules cater to personal needs and preferences. For instance, employees who can avoid peak-hour commuting or align their work hours with their most productive hours often experience reduced burnout and greater focus, leading to enhanced output (Weideman & Hofmeyr, 2020).

On the other hand, rigid schedules, typically characterized by fixed hours and a standardized approach, can constrain creativity and adaptability, particularly in roles that require problem-solving or high levels of interpersonal interaction (Angelici & Profeta, 2024). When employees are confined to rigid schedules, they may struggle to think creatively or adjust to changing circumstances, which can hinder their productivity (Preenan et al., 2017). Thus Maslach et al. (2017) note that rigid schedules often contribute to workplace burnout, particularly in jobs that demand high emotional labor or extensive customer interaction (Abid & Barech, 2017). Essentially, employees who feel micromanaged or restricted by their schedules may experience dissatisfaction, leading to disengagement and reduced performance (Aslam et al., 2018).

However, it is important to recognize that flexibility, while beneficial, must be carefully managed to avoid unintended consequences. For example, when employees are given too much autonomy without adequate structure, there is a risk of poor time management, lack of accountability, and diminished supervision, which could ultimately affect productivity (Kossek et al., 2015). Based on this Nordback et al. (2017) highlight that flexible work schedules are most effective when there is a clear understanding of expectations and outcomes, alongside regular communication and oversight. Without these elements, the advantages of flexibility can be undermined, leading to issues such as decreased coordination and inefficiencies. In the context of public service, such as in Lagos State's civil service, these challenges are amplified by the bureaucratic structures and resource constraints inherent in government organizations (Onuorah & Bosso, 2024). Additionally, civil servants, whose roles vary widely across departments and job functions, may experience different levels of effectiveness based on the type of schedule they follow. While some roles may benefit from the autonomy provided by flexible arrangements, others that require a more structured approach may face difficulties.

Fundamentally, the relationship between work schedules and productivity is multifaceted and dependent on numerous factors, including organizational culture, job roles, and employee motivation (McCollough, 2023). While flexible work schedules have the potential to enhance productivity by reducing stress, increasing job satisfaction, and offering greater autonomy, they must be implemented with care to avoid potential pitfalls such as poor time management and lack of supervision (Austin et al., 2020). In public service environments, particularly in Lagos State, the dynamics of bureaucratic structures and resource limitations complicate the straightforward application of flexible schedules. To maximize the benefits of flexible work arrangements, it is essential to balance autonomy with adequate support and oversight, while considering the diverse roles and unique challenges of civil servants (Kim et al., 2021). This careful balance can help ensure that productivity gains are realized and sustained over time (Spreitzer et al., 2017).

Impact of Work Schedules on Productivity

The impact of work schedules on productivity is a complex and multifaceted issue that can manifest in both positive and negative ways, depending on the nature of the schedule, the work environment, and the individual needs of employees (Kazekami, 2020; McCollough, 2023). Work schedules play a crucial role in shaping not only the daily operations of an organization but also the overall performance, motivation, and well-being of its workforce (Kowalski & Loretto, 2017). Understanding the full spectrum of these impacts is essential for developing work schedules that optimize productivity while maintaining a healthy and sustainable work-life balance for employees.

One of the most significant positive impacts of flexible work schedules is the enhancement of work-life balance. Flexible schedules, such as flextime, compressed workweeks, or remote work options, allow employees to manage their personal and professional responsibilities better (Orishede & Ndudi, 2020). By reducing the time spent commuting or giving employees the flexibility to schedule work around their peak productivity hours, flexible schedules help mitigate stress and reduce burnout (White, 2017). Studies like Pragathi and Reddy (2023) have shown that employees who have more control over their working hours tend to report lower levels of stress and absenteeism, which ultimately contributes to higher productivity. For instance, another study conducted by Angayarkanni et al. (2024) found that employees with flexible schedules experienced improved health outcomes and greater satisfaction, leading to higher engagement and performance levels.

Another positive impact is increased morale and job satisfaction. As Austin et al. (2020) observes that when employees feel trusted and empowered to manage their schedules, they are more likely to experience higher levels of motivation and engagement. Accordingly, Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory as explained earlier notes that motivators such as autonomy and recognition play a crucial role in fostering job satisfaction. Flexible work arrangements are directly aligned with these motivators, as they offer employees a sense of control over their work environment and personal time (Lee et al., 2022). Research by Austin et al. (2020) supports this, noting that organizations that provide flexible work options tend to have more engaged employees, which in turn leads to improved productivity and retention rate. Inherently, employees who feel they have the flexibility to balance their personal lives with professional demands are often more committed to their work and exhibit higher levels of performance (Bhatt & Patel, 2024).

Moreover, flexible work schedules allow employees to optimize their focus by aligning their work hours with their natural productivity peaks. Some individuals are more alert and efficient in the mornings, while others may perform better in the afternoon or evening (Weideman & Hofmeyr, 2020). Flexible schedules allow employees to tailor their work hours to match their most productive times, which can result in more efficient task completion and higher-quality output (Messenger, 2018). This customization of work hours significantly improves both individual and team performance while also enhancing work flow (Yidizhan et al., 2023).

However, the implementation of flexible work schedules is not without its challenges, and these can sometimes result in negative impacts on productivity. One of the main drawbacks is coordination challenges. When employees are working on flexible schedules, particularly in roles that require frequent collaboration or real-time decision-making, it can become difficult to ensure that everyone is on the same page. This can lead to delays in communication, misaligned priorities, and slower decision-making processes (Spreitzer et

al., 2017). For example, research by Franken et al. (2021) suggests that flexible schedules can result in communication breakdowns when teams are not physically present at the same time, which can disrupt workflow and hinder collaboration.

Another potential negative impact is reduced supervision. In environments where employees are working remotely or on flexible hours, there may be less oversight, which can lead to issues with time management, accountability, and meeting deadlines (Kossek et al., 2015). While autonomy is often beneficial, too much freedom can lead to procrastination and a lack of discipline, especially in roles that require constant supervision or close monitoring. Accordingly, Bal and Izak (2021) noted that without the proper infrastructure for remote work, such as regular check-ins and performance tracking, employees may struggle to stay on task, reducing overall productivity.

Additionally, flexible work schedules may inadvertently create inequalities within an organization. Not all roles or employees may benefit equally from flexible work arrangements (Gratton, 2021). For example, positions that require physical presence or customer interaction may not be suited for flexible scheduling, leading to perceptions of favoritism or inequity among employees (Rubery et al., 2016). This can foster resentment and impact team dynamics, ultimately affecting productivity. Correspondingly, research by Okla (2015) highlights that in some cases, employees who are unable to take advantage of flexible schedules may feel excluded or undervalued, which can harm morale and productivity across the organization.

Inherently, the impact of work schedules on productivity is far-reaching and multifaceted, encompassing both positive and negative effects. Flexible schedules can lead to enhanced work-life balance, increased morale, and improved focus, all of which contribute to higher productivity. However, they also pose challenges, such as coordination difficulties, reduced supervision, and potential inequalities, which can hinder productivity if not properly managed. The Lagos State civil service's implementation of a new flexible schedule provides an intriguing case study that highlights the need for a careful, context-specific approach to work schedule design. By balancing flexibility with accountability and ensuring that the diverse needs of employees are met, organizations can maximize the positive impacts of work schedules on productivity while minimizing potential drawbacks (Kossek et al., 2015).

Overview of the New Work Schedule in Lagos State

In the face of mounting economic challenges affecting both the government and its citizens, Lagos State has taken a significant step by introducing a new work schedule for its civil servants. This strategic policy shift is a response to economic pressures such as the removal of fuel subsidies, rising inflation, and increasing commuting costs, which have placed a strain on both the workforce and public service delivery (Titi, 2024). By restructuring the work schedule, the Lagos State government aims to enhance operational efficiency, reduce the financial burden on civil servants, and align public sector productivity with the demands of a rapidly evolving economic landscape (David, 2024).

The new work schedule was introduced with the overarching goal of fostering a more flexible and adaptive working environment within the civil service. Recognizing the diverse needs of different sectors and job roles, the policy was rolled out in phases, beginning with administrative and technical staff in ministries and government agencies (Ayodele, 2024). These sectors were prioritized due to their susceptibility to disruptions caused by

commuting challenges and the feasibility of implementing flexible work arrangements in their roles. For instance, administrative tasks and technical operations that do not require a constant physical presence lend themselves well to hybrid models of work. As a result, the initial phase focused on adopting staggered work hours, compressed workweeks, and, in some cases, remote working options (Ayomikunle, 2024).

One notable feature of the new schedule is its emphasis on reducing commuting stress. Lagos, being one of the most densely populated cities in Nigeria, faces significant traffic congestion, which not only affects employee well-being but also impacts productivity. By allowing civil servants to work from home on specific days or adopt flexible hours that avoid peak traffic periods, the policy seeks to minimize commuting times and associated costs (Titi, 2024). This measure aligns with global trends where governments and organizations increasingly leverage flexible work arrangements to enhance employee satisfaction and efficiency (Spreitzer et al., 2017). Different studies has discussed in earlier sections has shown that such policies can significantly improve workforce morale and performance while reducing operational costs for the government.

The implementation of the new schedule also reflects a broader commitment to innovation and modernization within Lagos State's public sector. By integrating technology to support remote work, the government aims to equip civil servants with tools that ensure seamless communication and task completion, regardless of their physical location (Ayomikun, 2024). This approach not only promotes adaptability but also positions Lagos State as a forward-thinking administrative hub capable of navigating the challenges of a globalized economy. For example, online task management systems and virtual meeting platforms have been introduced to ensure continuity and accountability, even as employees work remotely (Opeyemi, 2024).

However, the rollout of the new work schedule has not been without its challenges. Issues such as disparities in technological access, resistance to change from some quarters of the workforce, and the need for clear guidelines on performance monitoring have emerged as areas requiring attention (Titi, 2024). Additionally, the varied nature of civil service roles, ranging from fieldwork to desk-based tasks, necessitates a tailored approach to ensure that all sectors benefit equitably from the new arrangements. These challenges underscore the importance of ongoing dialogue, capacity-building initiatives, and periodic evaluations to refine and sustain the policy (Ayodele, 2024).

Essentially, the introduction of the new work schedule in Lagos State represents a bold and innovative response to the economic and logistical challenges facing civil servants and the government at large. By prioritizing flexibility, reducing commuting stress, and leveraging technology, the policy aims to enhance productivity and adapt public service delivery to the realities of modern economic demands. While challenges remain, the phased approach and targeted interventions provide a strong foundation for the policy's success (Monsurudeen, 2024). If effectively managed and continuously improved, the new schedule has the potential to serve as a model for other states in Nigeria and beyond, demonstrating the value of flexible work policies in addressing contemporary governance challenges.

Key features of the new work schedule

The new work schedule introduced by the Lagos State government incorporates a range of features aimed at addressing the challenges faced by civil servants while enhancing overall productivity and work-life balance. This initiative as fore mentioned reflects the state's

commitment to modernizing its workforce policies to align with global best practices and the evolving needs of its employees. The features of this schedule as explained earlier are designed to mitigate the pressures of commuting, leverage technological advancements, and foster a more adaptable work environment, particularly in the face of economic and infrastructural challenges.

A central feature of the new work schedule is the introduction of flexible working hours, allowing employees to adjust their starting and finishing times within a defined window. This approach provides civil servants with the autonomy to choose work hours that align with their personal routines and peak productivity periods (Titi, 2024). In Lagos, where traffic congestion is a persistent challenge, this flexibility significantly reduces the stress associated with daily commuting. For example, employees can opt to begin their workday earlier or later than the traditional hours, provided they complete the required number of hours. This not only improves morale but also contributes to smoother traffic flows across the city, benefiting the wider population. This corresponds with studies like by Abid and Barech (2017) and Austin et al. (2020) that supports the notion that flexible schedules enhance employee satisfaction and reduce burnout, leading to better organizational outcomes.

Another key component is the hybrid work model, which allows certain departments to combine in-office and remote work arrangements. Civil servants in roles involving digital tasks or minimal need for in-person interactions are permitted to work remotely for part of the week (Davidd, 2024). This model draws from global trends influenced by the COVID-19 pandemic, which underscored the viability of remote work in many sectors. For example, administrative staff tasked with data management or report writing can accomplish these duties from home, reducing their commuting burden and allowing them to focus on tasks without the distractions of a traditional office environment (Wheatley et al., 2024). The use of digital communication tools such as virtual meeting platforms and cloud-based collaboration systems ensures that employees remain connected and productive, regardless of location (Haque, 2023).

The policy also includes reduced working hours on certain days through a compressed workweek model. Civil servants in eligible roles can complete their weekly hours over a shorter number of days, often four, by working longer hours each day (Ayomikunle, 2024). This approach helps to reduce the frequency of commuting, saving employees both time and money. The compressed workweek model has been particularly effective in minimizing stress associated with travel, especially for workers who reside in distant suburbs or areas with limited access to reliable transportation (Doss, 2024). Additionally, fewer commuting days align with environmental sustainability goals by reducing fuel consumption and vehicle emissions, a consideration that is increasingly important in urban planning and governance (Tao et al., 2024).

Furthermore, for roles that do not require direct public interaction or reliance on office infrastructure, the government has implemented telecommuting as a viable option (Ayodele, 2024). Telecommuting empowers employees to perform their duties entirely from home, leveraging advancements in technology to maintain productivity. This feature is particularly beneficial for roles involving research, writing, or data analysis, where uninterrupted focus is essential (Yasmin & Tanaka, 2022). By allowing employees to work from home full-time or on designated days, telecommuting promotes a better work-life balance and enables individuals to manage personal responsibilities more effectively.

(Hossain et al., 2024) . The introduction of this option reflects Lagos State's recognition of the changing dynamics of work and the potential of technology to redefine traditional employment structures.

These key features underscore the state government's efforts to balance employee welfare with organizational efficiency. The focus on flexibility, adaptability, and technology-driven solutions ensures that the policy addresses the specific needs of civil servants while also aligning with broader goals of economic resilience and sustainable governance (Titi, 2024). The phased implementation of these features also allows for continuous evaluation and refinement, ensuring that the schedule remains responsive to both operational demands and employee feedback.

Conclusively, the new work schedule represents a forward-thinking approach to workforce management in Lagos State. By integrating flexible hours, hybrid models, compressed workweeks, and telecommuting, the policy not only alleviates the challenges posed by commuting and economic pressures but also positions the state as a leader in innovative public sector reforms. It is important to note that these measures have the potential to transform the civil service, making it more efficient, adaptable, and attuned to the realities of modern governance. As Lagos State continues to refine and expand the implementation of this schedule, it sets a precedent for other regions and states seeking to modernize their public sector operations and enhance employee productivity.

Implications of the New Work Schedule

The introduction of a new work schedule by Lagos State represents a pivotal shift in public administration with far-reaching implications for civil service operations, employee productivity, and broader organizational efficiency. By integrating flexible hours, hybrid models, and telecommuting, the policy challenges traditional approaches to public service delivery, which have historically been defined by rigid structures, fixed working hours, and hierarchical oversight (Atoum, 2024). This transformative approach reflects a recognition of the need to modernize public administration in the country to address the economic, social, and technological changes shaping governance in the contemporary era.

A significant implication of the policy lies in its potential to redefine employee engagement and productivity within the public sector. While flexible work arrangements have long been embraced in the private sector for their role in enhancing morale, reducing stress, and improving work-life balance, public institutions have been slower to adopt similar measures (Lindquist, 2022). By implementing this policy, Lagos State aligns itself with global best practices, positioning its civil service as a leader in innovative governance within Nigeria. This reform not only addresses immediate economic pressures but also underscores the government's understanding that flexibility and autonomy can be instrumental in boosting employee motivation and organizational performance (Opeyemi, 2024). If effectively implemented, the policy could serve as a model for other states and institutions in Nigeria facing similar challenges. It provides a compelling template for addressing the inefficiencies, low morale, and rigid bureaucracy that have long characterized public service in the country (Monsurudeen, 2024).

However, this innovative policy introduces complexities that must be carefully managed to ensure its success. Challenges such as maintaining coordination, ensuring accountability, and promoting inclusivity across diverse civil service roles will be critical considerations (Franken et al., 2021). Employees in roles that require physical presence,

such as frontline services, may not fully benefit from flexible or remote work arrangements, potentially leading to disparities within the workforce (Kaduk et al., 2019). These challenges highlight the need for a comprehensive framework that ensures equity while preserving the policy's core objectives. In addition, the effectiveness of the initiative will depend on robust supervisory mechanisms and adequate technological infrastructure to mitigate potential drawbacks, such as poor time management, reduced accountability, or inefficiencies in remote collaboration (Groen et al., 2018). Without these safeguards, the policy's potential benefits could be undermined, leaving gaps in its execution and reducing its overall impact. Beyond its direct effects on employee productivity and cost efficiency, the policy also aligns with broader societal and environmental objectives. By reducing reliance on daily commuting and encouraging remote work, Lagos State addresses urban challenges such as traffic congestion and high carbon emissions, contributing to sustainable urban development (Titi, 2024). These outcomes reflect the policy's multifaceted value, offering benefits that extend beyond organizational goals to include environmental and societal advancements. In essence, the new work schedule represents a bold and forward-thinking approach to modernizing public administration in Lagos State. It prioritizes employee well-being and operational efficiency while adapting to global trends in workplace dynamics. However, the success of the initiative will rely heavily on its ability to navigate the complexities of implementation and provide equitable access to its benefits. The insights gained from this initiative have the potential to redefine how public institutions in Nigeria can adapt to meet the demands of a rapidly evolving world, setting a new standard for governance in the process.

Summary

This study has critically examined the introduction of a new work schedule in the Lagos State civil service, focusing on its implications for employee productivity. The literature review explored the various types of work schedules, including traditional, flexible, and hybrid models, alongside their distinct advantages and challenges. It highlighted the global shift towards flexibility in workplace arrangements and situated Lagos State's initiative within this broader trend.

The findings reveal that the new work schedule, which incorporates features such as flexible working hours, hybrid models, and telecommuting, holds significant promise for enhancing productivity. Key benefits include improved work-life balance, reduced commuting stress, and increased employee morale. However, the study also identified critical challenges, such as coordination difficulties, supervision gaps, and infrastructural limitations, which could hinder the policy's effectiveness if not adequately addressed.

The analysis contextualized the policy within Lagos State's economic realities, particularly the rising costs of transportation following the removal of fuel subsidies. By reducing financial burdens on employees and fostering a more adaptive public service environment, the policy aims to enhance both individual and organizational performance. Furthermore, the study underscored the potential for Lagos State's approach to serve as a model for other public institutions seeking to modernize their operations and align with global best practices. Essentially, the study demonstrates the transformative potential of well-designed work schedule reforms for improving public sector productivity. However, the findings also highlight the importance of addressing structural and administrative challenges to ensure the policy achieves its intended goals. By offering insights

Conclusion

The adoption of a new work schedule by the Lagos State civil service marks a significant step towards modernizing public sector management in Nigeria. By introducing flexible working hours, hybrid models, and telecommuting options, the policy seeks to address the dual challenges of economic hardship and productivity in one of Africa's most dynamic urban centers. The findings reveal that this shift has had a predominantly positive impact on employee morale, attendance, and task completion, particularly for roles that benefit from flexibility and autonomy.

However, the success of this policy is not without limitations. Challenges such as coordination difficulties, inadequate supervision, and infrastructural gaps underscore the need for a more structured approach to implementation. Moreover, the disparity in how different departments and roles adapt to the schedule highlights the importance of tailoring work arrangements to the specific needs of employees and the nature of their tasks.

In conclusion, while the new work schedule demonstrates promise as a tool for improving productivity and employee satisfaction, its long-term effectiveness will depend on addressing its operational challenges. As Lagos State continues to refine this policy, lessons learned can serve as a valuable blueprint for other regions seeking to enhance public service delivery through innovative workplace strategies.

References

- Abid, S., & Barech, D. K. (2017). The impact of flexible working hours on the employees' performance. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, 5(7), 450-466.
- Angayarkanni, R., Sharma, M. K., Moorthygari, S. L., & Siddiqui, S. (2024). Flexible Work Arrangements: A Comparative Analysis of their Impact on Work-Life Balance, Dr. Aarti Sharma. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(6), 1603-1610. [Doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i6.5554](https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i6.5554).
- Angelici, M., & Profeta, P. (2024). Smart working: work flexibility without constraints. *Management Science*, 70(3), 1680-1705. [Doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2023.4767](https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2023.4767).
- Aslam, U., Muqadas, F., Imran, M. K., & Rahman, U. U. (2018). Investigating the antecedents of work disengagement in the workplace. *Journal of Management Development*, 37(2), 149-164. [Doi.org/10.1108/JMD-06-2017-0210](https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-06-2017-0210).
- Atoum, L. H. (2024). *Redefining Spaces: Strategic Optimization of Federal Office Environments in the Era of Hybrid Work* (Doctoral dissertation, The George Washington University).
- Austin-Egole, I. S., Iheriohanma, E. B., & Nwokorie, C. (2020). Flexible working arrangements and organizational performance: An overview. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS)*, 25(5), 50-59. DOI: 10.9790/0837-2505065059.

- Ayodele, O. (2024, February 22). Economic hardship: Lagos civil servants to work from office three days a week, says Sanwo-Olu. *The Cable*. <https://www.thecable.ng>.
- Ayomikunle, D. (2024, September 4). Economic hardship: Lagos extends work-from-home policy by three months. *The Cable*. <https://www.thecable.ng>.
- Bal, P. M., & Izak, M. (2021). Paradigms of flexibility: A systematic review of research on workplace flexibility. *European Management Review*, 18(1), 37-50. [Doi.org/10.1111/emre.12423](https://doi.org/10.1111/emre.12423).
- Berkery, E., Morley, M. J., Tiernan, S., Purtill, H., & Parry, E. (2017). On the uptake of flexible working arrangements and the association with human resource and organizational performance outcomes. *European Management Review*, 14(2), 165-183. [Doi.org/10.1111/emre.12103](https://doi.org/10.1111/emre.12103).
- Best, S. J. (2021). The future of work: Remote work in the emerging new normal. *The Business & Management Review*, 12(1), 285-292.
- Bhatt, N., & Patel, D. (2024). Beyond Boundaries: Unveiling The Future Nexus Of Workplace Flexibility And Enhance Job Performance. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(5), 6696-6702. [Doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i5.3997](https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i5.3997).
- Choudhary, S. (2016). A theoretical framework on flexible work schedules. *International Journal of Academic Research and Development*, 1(10), 8-14.
- Čiarnienė, R., Vienažindienė, M., & Adamonienė, R. (2018). Implementation of flexible work arrangements for sustainable development. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*, 7(4), 11-11. [Doi.org/10.14207/ejsd.2018.v7n4p11](https://doi.org/10.14207/ejsd.2018.v7n4p11).
- Cvetkoska, V., & Dimovska, M. (2021). What Will Be The Productivity Of Employees With Shorter Work Hours?. *International Journal of Business Research and Management (IJBRM)*, 12(4), 139-142. [Hdl.handle.net/20.500.12188/14252](https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12188/14252).
- David, I. (2024, February 22). Sanwo-Olu announces two-day work-from-home for civil servants. *Business Day*. <https://www.businessday.ng>
- Davidescu, A. A., Apostu, S. A., Paul, A., & Casuneanu, I. (2020). Work flexibility, job satisfaction, and job performance among Romanian employees—Implications for sustainable human resource management. *Sustainability*, 12(15), 6086. [Doi.org/10.3390/su12156086](https://doi.org/10.3390/su12156086)
- De Loecker, J., & Syverson, C. (2021). An industrial organization perspective on productivity. In *Handbook of industrial organization*, 4(1), 141-223. [Doi.org/10.1016/bs.hesind.2021.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.hesind.2021.11.003).
- Doss, M. M. (2024). *Addressing burnout: a shift to the progressive work model* (Doctoral dissertation, Pepperdine University).
- Drucker, P. F. (2018). The new productivity challenge. In *Quality in Higher Education* (pp. 37-46). Routledge.
- Filtvedt, R. E. (2016). *Motivation and job satisfaction: does Herzberg's "two-factor" theory apply to knowledge workers of today?* (Master's thesis, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Ås).
- Franken, E., Bentley, T., Shafaei, A., Farr-Wharton, B., Onnis, L. A., & Omari, M. (2021). Forced flexibility and remote working: Opportunities and challenges in the new normal. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 27(6), 1131-1149. [Doi.org/10.1108/ITP-06-2023-0547](https://doi.org/10.1108/ITP-06-2023-0547).
- Gidwani, B. D., & Dangayach, G. S. (2017). Productivity measurement and improvement-an overview. *International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management*, 20(3), 316-343. [Doi.org/10.1504/IJPQM.2017.082636](https://doi.org/10.1504/IJPQM.2017.082636).
- Govender, L., Migiro, S. O., & Kyule, A. K. (2018). Flexible work arrangements, job satisfaction and performance. *Journal of Economics and Behavioral Studies*, 10(3)), 268-277. [Doi.org/10.22610/jebs.v10i3.2333](https://doi.org/10.22610/jebs.v10i3.2333).

- Gratton, L. (2021). How to do hybrid right. *Harvard Business Review*, 99(3), 65-74.
- Groen, B. A., Van Triest, S. P., Coers, M., & Wtenweerde, N. (2018). Managing flexible work arrangements: Teleworking and output controls. *European Management Journal*, 36(6), 727-735. [Doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2018.01.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2018.01.007).
- Günter, A., & Gopp, E. (2022). Overview and classification of approaches to productivity measurement. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 71(4), 1212-1229. [Doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-05-2019-0241](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-05-2019-0241).
- Haque, S. M. S. (2023). The impact of remote work on hr practices: navigating challenges, embracing opportunities. *European Journal of Human Resource Management Studies*, 7(1). [Dx.doi.org/10.46827/ejhrms.v7i1.1549](https://dx.doi.org/10.46827/ejhrms.v7i1.1549).
- Hashmi, M. A., Al Ghaithi, A., & Sartawi, K. (2023). Impact of flexible work arrangements on employees' perceived productivity, organisational commitment and perceived work quality: A United Arab Emirates case-study. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal*, 33(2), 332-363. [Doi.org/10.1108/CR-10-2020-0130](https://doi.org/10.1108/CR-10-2020-0130).
- Holzer, M. (2018). Productivity. In *Defining Public Administration* (pp. 237-248). Routledge.
- Hossain, M. Z., Islam, H., & Purnima, F. H. (2024). The Flexibility Paradox: Does Remote Work Improve or Blur Work-Life Balance?. *European Journal of Management, Economics and Business*, 1(2), 41-55. [Doi.org/10.59324/ejmeb.2024.1\(2\).05](https://doi.org/10.59324/ejmeb.2024.1(2).05).
- Kaduk, A., Genadek, K., Kelly, E. L., & Moen, P. (2019). Involuntary vs. voluntary flexible work: insights for scholars and stakeholders. *Community, Work & Family*, 22(4), 412-442. [Doi.org/10.1080/13668803.2019.1616532](https://doi.org/10.1080/13668803.2019.1616532).
- Kazekami, S. (2020). Mechanisms to improve labor productivity by performing telework. *Telecommunications Policy*, 44(2), 101868. [Doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2019.101868](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2019.101868).
- Kelliher, C. (2024). The evolution of flexible working patterns. In *Work, Employment and Flexibility* (pp. 35-48). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Kelliher, C., Richardson, J., & Boiarintseva, G. (2019). All of work? All of life? Reconceptualising work-life balance for the 21st century. *Human resource management journal*, 29(2), 97-112. [Doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12215](https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12215).
- Khateeb, F. R. (2021). Work life balance-A review of theories, definitions and policies. *Cross-Cultural Management Journal*, 23(1), 27-55.
- Kim, T., Mullins, L. B., & Yoon, T. (2021). Supervision of telework: A key to organizational performance. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 51(4), 263-277. [Doi.org/10.1177/0275074021992058](https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074021992058).
- Kossek, E. E., Thompson, R. J., & Lautsch, B. A. (2015). Balanced workplace flexibility: Avoiding the traps. *California management review*, 57(4), 5-25. [Doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2015.57.4.5](https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2015.57.4.5).
- Kowalski, T. H., & Loretto, W. (2017). Well-being and HRM in the changing workplace. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(16), 2229-2255.
- Kuppachi, K. R. (2023). *The Impact of Hybrid Work Arrangements on Employee Engagement and Performance* (Doctoral dissertation, Indian School of Business (India)).
- Lee, B., Lee, C., Choi, I., & Kim, J. (2022). Analyzing determinants of job satisfaction based on two-factor theory. *Sustainability*, 14(19), 12557. [Doi.org/10.3390/su141912557](https://doi.org/10.3390/su141912557).
- Lindquist, E. A. (2022). The digital era and public sector reforms: Transformation or new tools for competing values?. *Canadian Public Administration*, 65(3), 547-568. [Doi.org/10.1111/capa.12493](https://doi.org/10.1111/capa.12493).

- Mas, A., & Pallais, A. (2017). Valuing alternative work arrangements. *American Economic Review*, 107(12), 3722-3759. DOI: 10.1257/aer.20161500
- McCollough, J. (2023). *The Effects of Various Forms of Flexible Work Practices on the Performance of Personnel in Healthcare Organizations* (Doctoral dissertation, Northcentral University).
- Messenger, J. (2018). Working time and the future of work. *ILO future of work research paper series*, 6(8), 33-37.
- Monsurudeen, O. (2024, October 18). Sanwo-Olu will announce more incentives for workers soon—Lagos HoS: ...Begins 2024 public service week. *The Guide*. <https://www.theguide.ng>
- Nordbäck, E. S., Myers, K. K., & McPhee, R. D. (2017). Workplace flexibility and communication flows: a structural view. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 45(4), 397-412. [Doi.org/10.1080/00909882.2017.1355560](https://doi.org/10.1080/00909882.2017.1355560).
- Nwekpa, K. C., Offor, P. G., & Ezezue, B. O. (2020). Work schedule flexibility and employee commitment: A study of Nigerian Breweries, Ama, Enugu, Nigeria. *International Journal of Development and Management Review*, 15(1), 1-16. doi.org/10.1080/00909882.2017.1355560
- Ogachi, C. (2024). *Effects of flexible work on job satisfaction among Nairobi's multinational employees* (Doctoral dissertation, Strathmore University).
- Okla, E. (2015). *A case study to explore ways flexible work arrangements hinder or increase an employee's job satisfaction* (Doctoral dissertation, Capella University).
- Omondi, A. A. (2016). *Flexible Work Schedules-a Critical Review of Literature* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
- Ongaki, J. (2019). An examination of the relationship between flexible work arrangements, work-family conflict, organizational commitment, and job performance. *Management*, 23(2), 169-187.
- Onuorah, O. L., & Bosso, U. A. (2024). Public Sector Innovation in Nigeria: An Explorative Study of Approaches, Outcomes, and Challenges. *Journal of Public Administration, Policy and Governance Research*, 2(1), 55-67.
- Orishede, F., & Ndudi, E. F. (2020). Flexible work arrangement and employee performance: A review. *Journal of Resources & Economic Development*, 3(1), 86-103. DOI: 10.51594/ijarss.v4i4.341
- Oshilim, N. G., & Akpesiri, O. P. (2015). Governance, employee engagement and improved Productivity in the public sector: the Nigerian experience. *Journal of Investment and Management*, 4(5), 141-151. Doi: 10.11648/j.jim.20150405.12.
- Owoseni, O. (2024, September 4). Lagos extends work-from-home policy. *TV360*. <https://www.tv360.ng>
- Pragathi, A. S., & Reddy, G. H. (2023). Understanding The Effectiveness Of Flexible Work Arrangements On Work-Life Balance And Organizational Performance. *Journal of Survey in Fisheries Sciences*, 10(1), 16756-16761. [Doi.org/10.53555/sfs.v10i1.2794](https://doi.org/10.53555/sfs.v10i1.2794).
- Preenen, P. T., Vergeer, R., Kraan, K., & Dhondt, S. (2017). Labour productivity and innovation performance: The importance of internal labour flexibility practices. *Economic and Industrial Democracy*, 38(2), 271-293.
- Rubery, J., Keizer, A., & Grimshaw, D. (2016). Flexibility bites back: the multiple and hidden costs of flexible employment policies. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 26(3), 235-251. [Doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12092](https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12092)
- Saidin, K., Wan, P., & Halim, W. (2022). A Synthesis Towards the Construct of Job Performance: Dimensions and Theoretical Approaches.

- Sampat, B., Raj, S., Behl, A., & Schoebel, S. (2022). An empirical analysis of facilitators and barriers to the hybrid work model: a cross-cultural and multi-theoretical approach. *Personnel Review*, 51(8), 1990-2020. [Doi.org/10.1108/PR-02-2022-0176](https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-02-2022-0176).
- Schneider, D., & Harknett, K. (2019). Consequences of routine work-schedule instability for worker health and well-being. *American sociological review*, 84(1), 82-114. [Doi.org/10.1177/0003122418823184](https://doi.org/10.1177/0003122418823184).
- Sickles, R. C., & Zelenyuk, V. (2019). *Measurement of productivity and efficiency*. Cambridge University Press.
- Spreitzer, G. M., Cameron, L., & Garrett, L. (2017). Alternative work arrangements: Two images of the new world of work. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 4(1), 473-499. [Doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-032516-113332](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-032516-113332).
- Subramaniam, K. G. (2017). *Strategies for reducing employee stress and increasing employee engagement* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).
- Tao, Y., You, S., Zhu, J., & You, F. (2024). Energy, climate, and environmental sustainability of trend toward occupational-dependent hybrid work: Overview, research challenges, and outlook. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 141083. [Doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.141083](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.141083).
- Timms, C., Brough, P., O'Driscoll, M., Kalliath, T., Siu, O. L., Sit, C., & Lo, D. (2015). Flexible work arrangements, work engagement, turnover intentions and psychological health. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 53(1), 83-103. [Doi.org/10.1111/1744-7941.12030](https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7941.12030).
- Titi, A. (2024, September 4). Lagos extends work-from-home policy by three months. *Channels News*. <https://www.channelstv.com>.
- Varotsis, N. (2022). Exploring the influence of telework on work performance in public services: experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance*, 24(5), 401-417. [Doi.org/10.1108/DPRG-11-2021-0152](https://doi.org/10.1108/DPRG-11-2021-0152).
- Weideman, M., & Hofmeyr, K. B. (2020). The influence of flexible work arrangements on employee engagement: An exploratory study. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 18(1), 1-18.
- Wheatley, D., Broome, M. R., Dobbins, T., Hopkins, B., & Powell, O. (2024). Navigating choppy water: Flexibility ripple effects in the COVID-19 pandemic and the future of remote and hybrid working. *Work, employment and society*, 38(5), 1379-1402. [Doi.org/10.1177/09500170231195230](https://doi.org/10.1177/09500170231195230).
- White, L. A. (2017). *Stress Management and Family Balance: A Quantitative Comparison of Telecommuting and Compressed Workweek Strategies* (Doctoral dissertation, Capella University).
- Williams, S., & Shaw, N. (2024). Hybrid working—Benefits and challenges for productivity and performance. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*. [Doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-05-2023-0230](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-05-2023-0230).
- Yasmin, L., & Tanaka, H. (2022). The Future of Work: Remote Collaboration and Digital Transformation. *Journal of Emerging Technology and Digital Transformation*, 1(2), 136-145.
- Yildizhan, H., Hosouli, S., Yilmaz, S. E., Gomes, J., Pandey, C., & Alkharusi, T. (2023). Alternative work arrangements: Individual, organizational and environmental outcomes. *Heliyon*, 9(11). [Doi.org/ 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21899](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21899).